joe worden marketing

04

DECISION ARCHITECTURE

PROCESS SERIES

Journey Mapping

The campaigns, strategies, and tactics, as welll as the five beliefs a buyer forms in sequence and the evidence required to clear each one.



What We Do.

&

How We Do It.

Journey Mapping

Establishing the Order of Proof

Why good proof lands flat, and the order in which buyers form belief.

Questions this paper answers

- Why does our strongest case study sometimes fail to move a prospect?
- How do we find the buyer questions our content leaves unanswered?
- What do buyers need beyond proof that we can do the work?

CONTENTS

1. The Order Is the Strategy
2. How the Work Gets Done
3. What Should Be Produced
4. Where AI Earns Its Place
5. What Process 04 Hands to Process 05

Most journey maps describe what the firm intends to do. Publish an article. Send an email. Invite the prospect to an event. Schedule a call. Deliver a proposal. The activities get arranged in a line, arrows get added, and the result is called a buyer journey.

It's usually a marketing calendar with better graphics.

A buyer journey has to be mapped from the buyer's side. It should explain what's still unresolved in the decision, which evidence can resolve it, and what happens when the firm asks the buyer to advance before that work is done.

Journey Mapping takes the position, the audience architecture and the governing facts assembled in the Brand & Marketing Manual and places them inside the Decision Sequence. We use the same sequence from client to client. The language, the evidence, the triggers and the failure modes do.

The five rungs are Recognition, Consideration, Conviction, Commitment and Continuation. Each clears a corresponding gate: Relevance, Credibility, Proof, Safety and Access. A buyer can move

quickly or double back to an earlier question. The map records which beliefs are already established and which still need support.

The Order Is the Strategy

When your best case study keeps landing flat with people who should care about it, the case study is usually fine. It is arriving before the reader has agreed there is a problem worth solving.

A Trust-Sequenced Engagement Ecosystem depends on more than useful content and consistent communication. It depends on useful evidence arriving in an order the buyer can absorb.

Journey Mapping gives every later activity a defined purpose. The firm stops asking whether it should produce another article, case study or email and starts asking which audience question is still unanswered. That question has an answer. The other one never did.

How the Work Gets Done

Recognition: Clearing Relevance

The first requirement is recognition of the problem, condition or opportunity the firm is prepared to address. Awareness of the firm comes later, and it comes second.

The buyer is asking whether the subject belongs to their world. The evidence has to demonstrate an accurate understanding of what the buyer is experiencing and the consequences sitting around it. Premature claims about the firm redirect attention from the buyer's condition to the seller's capabilities.

Recognition happens when the issue feels relevant enough to look into further. Without that conclusion, every later piece of proof supports a decision the buyer hasn't agreed to make.

You can test this on your own material in about ten minutes. Open the three pages a prospect is most likely to land on and count the sentences that describe a condition the buyer would recognize, before any sentence that describes the firm. If the count is zero, Relevance is where you're losing people, and everything below it on the page is going unread.

The failure signature is a page that opens with what the firm does. The fix is one paragraph, at the top, describing the situation the reader is in accurately enough that they keep going. More content won't do it.

Consideration: Clearing Credibility

Once the issue is recognized, the buyer starts working out who understands it well enough to deserve attention.

Credibility emerges through the quality of the firm's explanation, the judgment visible in how it weighs alternatives, and the specificity with which it describes the buyer's environment. Declaring expertise has never produced any of it.

At this rung the firm enters the consideration set. It has earned the right to be evaluated, which firms routinely mistake for the right to be selected.

The test here is uncomfortable and worth running anyway. Take any piece of published thinking your firm has produced in the last year, remove the logo and the byline, and ask whether a competitor could have published it unchanged. If they could, it did nothing for Credibility. It occupied a slot on a calendar.

What clears this rung is visible reasoning. A published piece that weighs two defensible options and explains why the firm chooses one does more than ten that summarize a regulation everybody has already read.

Conviction: Clearing Proof

Conviction requires evidence that the firm can perform what its position and its language have implied.

The proof may be completed work, documented results, approvals, client testimony, process visibility or demonstrated judgment. More proof isn't automatically better. Evidence is useful when it answers the uncertainty holding this particular audience in place.

A case study can be credible and still arrive at the wrong time. A buyer who hasn't concluded that the firm understands the local approval environment won't be moved by a construction-administration example. Proof delivered before the corresponding question exists creates noise.

A practical way to audit this: list your case studies and write beside each one the single buyer question it answers. Not the industry, not the service line, the question. Most firms find two or three of their pieces answering the same question and several important questions with nothing beside them at all.

The other common finding is proof that answers a question nobody's asking yet, which is the expensive kind, because it looks like an asset.

Commitment: Clearing Safety

Proof that the firm can perform doesn't eliminate the consequences of being wrong. Before commitment becomes acceptable, the buyer has to understand what could go wrong, how the firm handles uncertainty, and whether the decision can be defended to other people.

Safety gets established by naming risk honestly, clarifying responsibilities and reducing uncertainty around implementation. References, expectations, commercial terms, transition plans and the difficult questions all belong here.

Trust exists when uncertainty has been reduced sufficiently that commitment becomes psychologically acceptable despite the continued presence of risk.

Safety is the rung almost nobody builds for, and it's easy to check. Read your case material and look for a single sentence describing something that went wrong and how it was handled. If every engagement in your public record ran smoothly, a careful buyer reads that as marketing rather than evidence, and quietly assumes the failures exist and are being withheld.

The person choosing you has to defend the choice to somebody else. Give them the honest version of the risk and you have handed them the thing they need. Give them a flawless record and you have handed them a problem.

Continuation: Clearing Access

The last rung keeps the next step clear and proportionate after the initial decision. Access covers onboarding, communication expectations, information exchange, ongoing support and the path along which the relationship deepens or produces advocacy.

Access also matters before an engagement is agreed: a reader needs a usable way to continue, ask a question or examine the next piece of evidence. Here, as the gate paired with Continuation, Access means the ability to use and deepen the relationship after commitment. An earlier invitation does not mean the buyer has reached the final rung.

A complicated beginning can send a buyer back to reconsider a decision that looked finished. Continuation turns commitment into an experience that confirms the buyer chose well.

Worth asking inside your own firm: what does a new client receive in the first ten days, and who decided it? In most firms the answer is an engagement letter, an invoice and whatever the assigned partner happens to do by habit. That's the rung where advocacy gets earned or lost, and it's usually the only one with no owner.

Applying the Sequence to Priority Audiences

In our mapping process, the sequence stays fixed while the evidence changes by segment and persona. An Anchor with direct experience of the firm may clear Credibility through an existing

relationship. A member of the Early Mainstream may need evidence that Anchor created. A developer, contractor and referral partner can occupy the same rung and need entirely different proof.

For every priority audience, identify the unresolved question, the evidence capable of clearing it, the advancement trigger, and the failure created when the rung gets skipped. Segment position determines how much market validation the audience requires. Persona determines how the evidence gets packaged.

If you want to try this on one audience, pick the buyer type you most want more of and write down the last three you lost or never heard back from. For each, name the rung they were standing on when contact stopped. Most firms discover the same rung three times, and that's the one to build for first.

Distinguishing Triggers From CTAs

A trigger changes the buyer's readiness to advance. A public call to action merely tells the buyer what the firm would like them to do.

Reading a case study may provide the proof that advances conviction. A "Schedule a Consultation" button does not. Opening an email indicates activity and establishes nothing about whether the gate underneath it has been cleared.

Near commitment, a generic public CTA shouldn't stand in for a contextual next step. Once the decision has become specific, access should come out of the relationship and the buyer's circumstances, not from another promotional instruction at the bottom of a page.

A next step should cost the buyer roughly what the last gate earned. Somebody who has read one article can accept a second one. Somebody who has read the case material and checked two references can accept a conversation. Offering the largest step you sell to a reader standing at the first rung is the most common way a good sequence dies, and it happens on the page rather than in the room.

Identifying Failure Modes and Missing Proof

Audit the firm's content, conversations and touchpoints against the journey. Every asset gets a job: the audience it serves, the rung it supports, the gate it's meant to clear and the evidence it supplies.

That shows where the firm has six things doing one job and where a required belief has nothing supporting it at all. It also surfaces the sequencing failures. Services presented before relevance exists. Proof offered before credibility has formed. A request for commitment before anyone has addressed safety.

Those are failures we've committed ourselves, usually by sending strong proof to a buyer who hadn't yet agreed there was a problem worth solving...and then wondering why a good case study landed like a brochure.

A version of this audit is worth running yourself, even without the rest of the process. Put every asset the firm owns in one column. In the next column write the single buyer question it answers. In the third, the rung that question belongs to. Two patterns show up almost every time. A stack of assets clustered at Proof, and nothing at all at Safety.

The resulting gaps become requirements for the engagement, content and asset processes that follow. Journey Mapping determines what has to be created and why. Creating it belongs to the processes downstream.

What Should Be Produced

A complete Journey Mapping deliverable produces:

- The five-rung journey expressed in the client's market language
- Audience-specific gate questions and evidence requirements
- Advancement triggers and the observable signals that support them
- Failure modes created when evidence is missing or mistimed
- A content and touchpoint audit mapped to each rung
- A gap analysis identifying the assets and experiences required
- Journey-stage requirements for CRM and later automation
- The ordered proof architecture governing the engagement ecosystem

This is the decision architecture every activity has to follow. It contains fewer arrows than clients expect and more decisions.

Where AI Earns Its Place

AI organizes behavioral signals across channels, maintains journey records and surfaces patterns suggesting an audience may be working through a particular question. Once the appropriate next step has been determined, it delivers approved evidence faster than anyone can do it by hand.

It can't know a gate has been cleared because somebody clicked a link or sat through a webinar. Observable behavior suggests possible movement. It doesn't provide access to the buyer's internal decision.

What Process 04 Hands to Process 05

Engagement Strategy comes next. Process 04 establishes what each audience has to believe and the evidence required to advance. Process 05 determines how the firm creates valuable, proportionate interactions that deliver that evidence without turning every encounter into a pitch.

Related reading

Decision Science: Customer Journey and Touchpoint Sequencing. Explore the reasoning behind this part of the process.

How-To: How to know when to make the ask. Apply a specific part of the work.

Continue with Process 05.